arXiv:1408.2101v1 [math-ph] 9 Aug 2014

Exponential bounds on the number of causal triangulations

Bergfinnur Durhuus[1]

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

Thordur Jonsson[2]

Division of Mathematics, The Science Institute
University of Iceland, Dunhaga 3
IS-107 Reykjavik, Iceland

Abstract. We prove that the number of combinatorially distinct causal 3-dimensional triangulations homeomorphic to the 3-dimensional sphere is bounded by an exponential function of the number of tetrahedra. It is also proven that the number of combinatorially distinct causal 4-dimensional triangulations homeomorphic to the 4-sphere is bounded by an exponential function of the number of 4-simplices provided the number of all combinatorially distinct triangulations of the 3-sphere is bounded by an exponential function of the number of tetrahedra.

[1]email: durhuus@math.ku.dk
[2]email: thjons@hi.is

1 Introduction

Random triangulations have been used for over 30 years to construct discrete models of 2-dimensional quantum gravity. For a review of early work in this field, see [1]. In [2] the generalization of these models to three dimensions was considered and it was pointed out that one needs bounds on the number of combinatorially distinct triangulations of a given fixed topology in order for the models to be well defined. More precisely: If $N(V)$ is the number of distinct triangulations of the 3-sphere, say, by V tetrahedra we need a bound of the form

$$N(V) \leq C^V \,, \tag{1}$$

for some constant C. It is easy to deduce from (1) the corresponding bound for triangulations of the 3-ball or, more generally, the 3-sphere with a number of 3-balls removed.

In [12] the notion of locally constructible triangulations was introduced and such triangulations of S^3 were shown to obey the bound (1). Subsequently it was proven that not all triangulations of S^3 are locally constructible [8] and a proof of (1) is still missing. See [10] for a recent result which gives a new sufficient condition for (1) to hold. Monte Carlo simulations of the 3-dimensional gravity models indicate that a bound of the form (1) is valid, see [7, 9].

An alternative approach to discretized quantum gravity in two and higher dimensions is the use of so-called causal triangulations. This is the class of triangulations that we are concerned with in the bulk of this paper. A general definition is given in Section 2. In the case of 3 dimensions they can be described as follows: We first introduce the notion of a *causal slice* which is a triangulation of $S^2 \times [0, 1]$ with the property that all the vertices lie on the boundary and every tetrahedron has a least one vertex in each of the two boundary components. If K is a causal slice then its boundary ∂K consists of two triangulations of the 2-sphere. We choose to label the boundary components and call one of them the in-boundary and the other one the out-boundary. A *causal triangulation* K is then defined by a sequence

$K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_N$ of causal slices where K_j has in-boundary Σ^j_{in} and out-boundary Σ^j_{out} such that K^j and K^{j+1} intersect in $\Sigma^j_{\text{out}} = \Sigma^{j+1}_{\text{in}}$ for $j = 1, 2 \ldots, N-1$, and K^i and K^j are disjoint otherwise. Then K is a triangulation of $S^2 \times [0,1]$ with boundary $\partial K = \Sigma^1_{\text{in}} \cup \Sigma^N_{\text{out}} \equiv \Sigma_{\text{in}} \cup \Sigma_{\text{out}}$.

If T is a triangulation of the 3-dimensional ball with one interior vertex and boundary $\partial T = \Sigma_{\text{in}}$ then we obtain another triangulation of the ball by attaching T to the causal triangulation K along the in-boundary. Such triangulations are often referred to as causal triangulations of the 3-ball. Obviously we can close up at the other end in the same way and obtain a triangulation of S^3.

One can think of the triangulated 2-spheres in the boundaries of the causal slices as the "space" and the graph distance from Σ_{in} as a discrete "time" coordinate so space-time is in this picture foliated by a sequence of 2-spheres which are connected by causal slices. This approach was introduced in [3] for 2-dimensions and generalized to 3-dimensions in [4]. For a while it was hoped that this model could be solved exactly in 3 dimensions because it can be mapped onto a certain matrix model [5] but this turned out to be overly optimistic. The 4-dimensional models are still under active investigation [6] but so far almost all results are numerical.

For the causal triangulations to make sense in 3 dimensions as a model for quantum gravity an exponential bound of the form (1) is also needed. The main purpose of this paper is to provide such a bound. Our method is partly inspired by the matrix model approach [5] which codes the information about the causal slices in certain graphs whose structure will be described in the next section.

We introduce the notion of *generalized causal slices* which are defined in the same way as causal slices except they are not required to be homeomorphic to $S^2 \times [0,1]$. Instead they are required to be simplicial manifolds with two boundary components and this definition extends in a straightforward way to higher dimensions. Generalized causal triangulations are then defined as a sequence of generalized causal slices with boundaries identified as described above.

The principal results of this paper are the following:

A The number $N_3(V)$ of combinatorially distinct 3-dimensional causal triangulations made up of V tetrahedra satisfies the inequality (1).

B The two boundary components of generalized 3-dimensional causal triangulations are necessarily homeomorphic to each other and there is an exponential bound like (1) on the number of distinct generalized causal triangulations with boundaries of a fixed genus.

C Assuming the bound (1) for arbitrary triangulations of S^3, it is proven that the number of distinct causal triangulations of $S^3 \times [0,1]$ is bounded by an exponential function of the number of 4-simplicies.

In the next section we establish some preliminary results on causal slices. In Section 3 we prove **A**, discuss generalized causal triangulations and establish **B**. It follows from **A**, as we show in Section 3, that the number $N_3(V, \Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}})$ of causal triangulations of $S^2 \times [0,1]$ made up of V tetrahedra with fixed boundaries Σ_{in} and Σ_{out} is given by

$$N_3(V, \Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}}) = e^{\beta V + o(V)}, \tag{2}$$

where β is independent of Σ_{in} and Σ_{out}. In Section 4 we extend some of the results from Section 3 to the 4-dimensional case and prove **C**.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we establish some notation and give a general definition of the principal objects under study in this paper, causal slices and triangulations, as well as some associated objects.

Recall that an abstract simplicial complex K is defined by its vertex set K^0, which here is assumed to be finite, and a set of subsets of K^0, called simplices, such that if σ is a simplex in K and $\sigma' \subset \sigma$ then σ' is also a simplex in K (see [15]). If σ is a simplex in K containing $p+1$ vertices it is called a p-simplex and the set of p-simplices will be denoted by K^p. We denote by $|K^p|$ the number of p-simplicies

in K. If every simplex in K is contained in some D-simplex we say that D is the dimension of K. Given two abstract simplicial complexes K and K_1, a bijective map $\psi : K_1^0 \to K^0$ is called a *combinatorial isomorphism* if it induces a bijection of simplices. Obviously, combinatorial isomorphism is an equivalence relation.

A (geometric) *realization* of an abstract simplicial complex K is a map

$$\phi : K^0 \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

for some n such that $\phi(\sigma)$ is an affinely independent set for every simplex σ in K and

$$\operatorname{conv}\phi(\sigma) \cap \operatorname{conv}\phi(\sigma') = \operatorname{conv}\phi(\sigma \cap \sigma')$$

for all simplices σ, σ' in K. Here, $\operatorname{conv}\phi(\sigma)$ is the convex hull of the set $\phi(\sigma)$. Hence, the convex spans of the images of abstract simplices in K define a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that we shall denote K_ϕ. It is well known (see, e.g., [16]) that any abstract simplicial complex has a geometric realization for n sufficiently large and that the homeomorphism class of

$$K'_\phi = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \operatorname{conv}\phi(\sigma)$$

does not depend on the realization ϕ. If K'_ϕ is a manifold we call K a manifold. In the following we assume that all manifolds are connected. Note also that if ψ is a combinatorial isomorphism as defined above and ϕ is a realization of K then $\phi \circ \psi$ is a realization of K_1 and we may speak about ϕ as a realization of the combinatorial isomorphism class of K. Frequently, we shall not distinguish between K, its combinatorial isomorphism class, and its geometric realizations. Similarly, if K is a D-dimensional manifold, the boundary complex ∂K is defined in the standard manner as an abstract simplicial complex consisting of all the $(D-1)$-simplices (together with their subsimplices) contained in only one D-simplex. A realization of K gives in an obvious way rise to a realization of ∂K in the same Euclidean space.

From now on we shall assume that K is a manifold of dimension D. For the purpose of defining the causal slices we further assume that K^0 is divided into two classes whose vertices we will call red and blue. These will be the vertices of the



Figure 1: The three different types of D-simplicies that arise for $D = 3$. The full lines are blue and the broken ones red.

in- and out-boundary components discussed in the Introduction. By definition, a p-simplex whose vertices all have the same colour inherits the colour of the vertices. We will require that no D-simplex has all its vertices of the same colour. The D-simplices therefore fall into D classes determined by the number k of red vertices, and hence $D+1-k$ blue vertices, which we say are of type $(k, D+1-k)$, see Fig. 1.

Definition 1. A *D-dimensional causal slice* K is an abstract simplicial complex as described above which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) K is homeomorphic to the cylinder $S^{D-1} \times [0,1]$.

(ii) All mono-coloured simplices of K belong to the boundary ∂K, such that the red ones belong to one boundary component ∂K_{red} and the blue ones to the other component ∂K_{blue}.

A *causal triangulation* of dimension D is an abstract simplicial complex of the form

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K^i \,,$$

where each K^i is a causal slice of dimension D such that K^i is disjoint from K^j for $i \neq j$ except that $\partial K^i_{blue} = \partial K^{i+1}_{red}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$, as uncoloured abstract simplicial complexes. The boundary components of ∂K^1_{red} and ∂K^N_{blue} of M will be denoted Σ_{in} and Σ_{out}, respectively.

With the colouring conventions described above, we note that (ii) in Definition 1 is equivalent to the requirement in the Introduction (where we had $D = 3$) that all vertices belong to the boundary and no tetrahedron has all its vertices in the same boundary component. There is an obvious notion of combinatorial isomorphism of causal slices respecting the colouring, and we shall denote by $\mathcal{CS}_D$ the set of combinatorial equivalence classes of D-dimensional causal slices. Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_D$ the set of combinatorial equivalence classes of causal triangulations of dimension D.

Let K be a causal slice of dimension D and consider a realization ϕ of K in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote the boundary components of K'_ϕ corresponding to ∂K_{red} and ∂K_{blue} by $\partial K'_{red}$ and $\partial K'_{blue}$, respectively. Given $x \in K'_\phi$ there is a D-simplex s in K_ϕ containing x. If we let $\{r_i\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ denote the red and blue vertices, respectively, in s, we can express x in a unique way as a convex combination

$$x = \sum_i \mu_i r_i + \sum_j \lambda_j b_j, \tag{3}$$

where $\mu_i, \lambda_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_i \mu_i + \sum_j \lambda_j = 1$. Depending on the colour type of s, i runs from 1 to k and j runs from 1 to $D + 1 - k$, $1 \leq k \leq D$. We define the *height function* $h : K'_\phi \to [0, 1]$ by

$$h(x) = \sum_j \lambda_j. \tag{4}$$

It is easy to check that this function is well defined, i.e., $h(x)$ does not depend on the choice of s containing x, and it is a simplicial map, see [15]. Evidently, $h(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \partial K'_{red}$ and $h(x) = 1$ if and only if $x \in \partial K'_{blue}$. Moreover, if $0 < h(x) < 1$, then there is a unique line segment $[x_r, x_b]$ with endpoints $x_r \in \partial K'_{red}$ and $x_b \in \partial K'_{blue}$ that contains x and is contained in some D-simplex in K'_ϕ. With

the notation introduced above we have

$$x_r = \frac{1}{1-h(x)} \sum_i \mu_i r_i, \quad x_b = \frac{1}{h(x)} \sum_j \lambda_j b_j. \tag{5}$$

We now consider the section in K'_ϕ consisting of points at height $\frac{1}{2}$:

$$S_K = \{x \in K'_\phi : h(x) = \frac{1}{2}\}. \tag{6}$$

We will refer to S_K as the *midsection* of K'_ϕ. Actually, we shall consider S_K as a coloured cell complex in the following sense, that we now describe in detail only for the cases $D = 3$ and $D = 4$. We refer to [15] for a definition of cell complexes.

Consider first the case $D = 3$. If s is a tetrahedron in K_ϕ of type $(k, 4-k)$, $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $s \cap S_K$ is a cell with $k(4-k)$ corners. If $k = 1$ or 3, then the cell is a triangle whose edges we declare to be red if $k = 3$ but blue if $k = 1$. If $k = 2$ then the cell $s \cap S_K$ is a quadrangle, i.e., a product of two 1-simplicies. The edges of the quadrangles are coloured by the same colour as the colour of the boundary edge in $\partial K'_{red}$ or $\partial K'_{blue}$ of the triangle to which they belong. In particular, opposite sides of the quadrangles have the same colour, see Fig. 2.



Figure 2: A part of a midsection of a 3-dimensional causal slice.

This defines S_K as a 2-dimensional cell complex with coloured edges. We shall also use S_K to denote its combinatorial isomorphism class, where a combinatorial (or abstract in the terminology of [15]) isomorphism $\varphi : S_{K_1} \to S_{K_2}$ is defined as a bijective map from the 0-cells of S_{K_1} onto those of S_{K_2} such that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ is the set of corners of a cell in S_{K_1} if and only if $\{\varphi(v_1), \ldots, \varphi(v_k)\}$ is the set of corners of a cell in S_{K_2} for $k = 2, 3, 4$ and, in addition, φ preserves the colouring of edges. Note that changing the hight of the midsection to any $h \in (0, 1)$ does not affect its combinatorial equivalence class but at $h = 0$ and $h = 1$ the section collapses to the red and blue boundaries, respectively.

In case $D = 4$ there are four types of coloured cells. If s is a 4-simplex of type $(4, 1)$ or $(1, 4)$ then $s \cap S_K$ is a tetrahedron coloured red or blue, respectively. On the other hand, if s is of type $(3, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$ then $s \cap S_K$ is a prism $\Delta \times [0, 1]$, where Δ is a triangle. In the former case, the edges of the two triangles in the boundary are coloured red while the three remaining edges are coloured blue, and vice versa in the latter case (see Fig. 3). This defines S_K as a 3-dimensional cell complex with coloured edges.



Figure 3: The four different coloured cells that occur in the midsection in the 4-dimensional case.

While we need a realization of K in order to define the midsection, it is essentially independent of which realization is chosen as decribed in the following Lemma. This justifies the notation S_K for the midsection.

Lemma 1. *The midsection S_K of a causal slice K is a closed $(D-1)$-dimensional manifold. Combinatorially isomorphic causal slices give rise to combinatorially isomorphic midsections.*

Proof. Suppose we have a given realization K_ϕ of K with a midsection S_K. We have that $\frac{1}{2}$ is a regular value of the height function h, from which it follows that S_K is a closed $(D-1)$-dimensional manifold (see, e.g., [18] Sections 1.3 and 4.2).

If ψ is a combinatorial isomorphism between abstract simplicial complexes K_1 and K_2 there is a canonical piecewise linear homeomorphism between any two realizations K_{ϕ_1} and K_{ϕ_2} of them, which obviously restricts to a piecewise linear homeomorphism between the corresponding midsections $S_{K_{\phi_1}}$ and $S_{K_{\phi_2}}$ which in turn induces a combinatorial isomorphism between $S_{K_{\phi_1}}$ and $S_{K_{\phi_2}}$. This proves the last statement of the Lemma. □

The preceding Lemma allows us to define a mapping π on $\mathcal{CS}_D$ by setting

$$\pi(K) = S_K \,,$$

such that π takes values in the set of $(D-1)$-dimensional closed coloured cell-complexes as described above for the cases $D = 3$ and $D = 4$. Straightforward generalizations can be given for higher dimensions but we shall not consider these cases further in this paper. It is rather easy to see that π is not a surjective map, see Fig. 4.

More specifically, there exist coloured cell complexes as we have described that contain vertices which are connected by a red path, i.e., a path consisting entirely of red edges, as well as by a blue path and such complexes cannot be the midsection of a causal slice. To see this, suppose v and w are vertices connected by a blue path. Then v and w lie on two unique 1-simplicies with the same red endpoint in the boundary of the slice. If they are also connected by a red path those two 1-simplices



Figure 4: Taking two copies of this cell complex which has the topology of a disc and identifying the boundary edges of the same color we obtain a coloured cell complex with the topology of S^2. The vertices A and B are connected both by a red and a blue path.

have the same blue endpoint in the boundary. This is only possible if $v = w$. It is beyond the scope of the present paper to characterize those $(D-1)$-dimensional cell complexes that arise as midsections of causal slices. On the other hand, it will be an important ingredient in the proof of the exponential bound to show that π is injective.

Before proceeding it is useful to introduce the following notation. If $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$, are simplices whose vertices form a partition of the vertices of a simplex σ we use the notation $(\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_n)$ for σ. Thus, e.g. , if v is a vertex and e is an edge then (ve) denotes the triangle containing the edge e and opposite vertex v.

If K_ϕ is a geometric realization of an abstract simplicial complex K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and σ is a simplex in K we let $N_\epsilon(\sigma)$ denote the neighbourhood of $\phi(\sigma)$ consisting of points in K'_ϕ at distance at most ϵ from $\phi(\sigma)$. We shall repeatedly use the fact that if K is a connected manifold of dimension D and S is a union of neighbourhoods of the form $N_\epsilon(\sigma)$ with σ of dimension at most $D-2$ then $K'_\phi \setminus S$ is connected if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Likewise, we will use the fact that the star of a simplex not in the boundary of K is homeomorphic to a D-ball and, in particular, that any

interior $(D-1)$-simplex is incident on exactly two D-simplices.

3 The 3-dimensional case

In this section we first establish an exponential bound on the number of 3-dimensional causal triangulations introduced in Definition 1. We then generalize this result to arbitrary boundary topology and discuss a slightly generalized notion of causal triangulations.

Theorem 1. *Let $N_3(V)$ denote the number of 3-dimensional causal triangulations with V tetrahedra. Then there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that*

$$N_3(V) \leq C_3^V \,, \tag{7}$$

for all positive integers V.

Proof. The proof proceeds in three steps.

(i) Since the number of ways to write V as a sum of positive integers is bounded by 2^V it suffices to find an exponential bound on the number of causal slices as a function of the number V of tetrahedra.

(ii) Consider a causal slice K. By assumption its interior is homeomorphic to $S^2 \times (0,1)$. On the other hand, we have already remarked that it is also homeomorphic to $S_K \times (0,1)$ since the topology of the midsection does not depend on its height $h \in (0,1)$. In particular, $S_K \times (0,1)$ is simply connected and it follows that S_K is simply connected and hence homeomorphic to S^2. Now introduce a new edge for each quadrangle in S_K connecting an opposite pair of its vertices and colour those edges black. This yields an abstract triangulation of S^2 with at most $2V$ triangles, since each rectangle in S_K splits into two triangles. Clearly, removing the black edges one recovers S_K and the number of possible edge colourings is bounded by 3^{3V}, since the number of edges in a triangulation of S^2 with N triangles equals $\frac{3}{2}N$. Moreover, it is well known that the number of (uncoloured) abstract triangulations of S^2 with at most N triangles is bounded by C_0^N, where $C_0 > 0$ is a constant

(see, e.g., [11] for an elementary proof; one may also use the corresponding result for planar maps [17]). We conclude that the number of combinatorially distinct midsections S_K, that can occur for $|K^3| = V$, is bounded by $(27C_0^2)^V$.

(iii) If S_K determines K up to combinatorial isomorphism, that is if the map π is injective on $\mathcal{CS}_3$, then the bound (7) follows from (i) and (ii). The proof of injectivity of π is deferred to Lemma 2 below. □

Lemma 2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{CS}_3$. Then the coloured cell complex S_K determines K up to combinatorial isomorphism.*

Proof. Let K be a causal slice with realization K_ϕ containing S_K. We will construct from $S = S_K$ a simplicial complex K_S, depending only on the combinatorial isomorphism class of S_K, which will be shown to be isomorphic to K.

To each vertex v in S_K we associate a pair of new vertices (r_v, b_v) which will be in the vertex set of K_S. The vertex r_v is by assumption different from b_w for all vertices v, w in S_K and $r_v = r_w$ if and only if v is connected to w in S_K by a blue path. Similarly, $b_v = b_w$ if and only if v is connected to w by a red path. We note that $r_{v_1} = r_{v_2}$ and $b_{v_1} = b_{v_2}$ if and only if $v_1 = v_2$, since the edges in K_ϕ containing v_1 and v_2 would have identical endpoints. The vertex set K_S^0 of K_S is by definition the set of vertices r_v, b_v where v ranges over the vertices of S_K, subject to the identifications we have described.

Now associate to each red triangle of S_K with corners v_1, v_2, v_3 a tetrahedron of type (3,1) with red corners $r_{v_1}, r_{v_2}, r_{v_3}$ and a blue corner $b_{v_1} = b_{v_2} = b_{v_3}$. Analogously we associate a type $(1, 3)$ tetrahedron to each blue triangle in S. Finally, to a quadrangle cell with corners v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 such that (v_1, v_2) and (v_3, v_4) are red edges while (v_2, v_3) and (v_4, v_1) are blue, we associate a type $(2, 2)$ tetrahedron with red corners $r_{v_1} = r_{v_4}$ and $r_{v_2} = r_{v_3}$ and blue corners $b_{v_1} = b_{v_2}$ and $b_{v_3} = b_{v_4}$. This defines the set of tetrahedra in K_S and hence also defines K_S as a 3-dimensional abstract simplicial complex with obvious vertex colouring.

We next remark that if v_1, v_2 are two vertices in S_K connected by a blue path

then, as noted above, the unique edges in K_ϕ containing v_1 and v_2, respectively, have a common red endpoint which will be denoted $\bar{r}(v_1) = \bar{r}(v_2)$. Similarly, if v_1, v_2 are connected by a red path the two edges have a common blue endpoint $\bar{b}(v_1) = \bar{b}(v_2)$. Hence, we may define a map $\tau : K_S^0 \to K^0$ by setting

$$\tau(r_v) = \bar{r}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(b_v) = \bar{b}(v)\,.$$

It is evident by construction of K_S that τ is surjective and maps simplices in K_S to simplices in K. To prove that τ is a combinatorial isomorhism, it remains to show that τ is injective, i.e., that the defining identifications of vertices described above are the only ones.

In order to prove this consider, say, a red vertex r and the star B_r in ∂K_{red} around r. It is a disc whose boundary ∂B_r is a circle S^1 consisting of red edges $e_1, \ldots, e_n$, see Fig. 5. The boundary triangle $\Delta_i = (re_i)$ is incident on exactly one tetrahedron



Figure 5: The star in ∂K_{red} of a red boundary vertex r.

$t_i = (rb_ie_i)$ which is necessarily of type (3,1), as indicated, with b_i denoting its blue vertex. Consider a vertex $v \in \partial B_r$. We note that r is not contained in any simplex of type (3,1) other than $t_1, \ldots, t_n$, since there are no mono-coloured interior edges in K. The boundary edge (rv) is contained in exactly two triangles Δ_i and Δ_{i+1} in B_r. If $b_i \neq b_{i+1}$ the triangle (rvb_i) is incident on t_i and one other tetrahedron

$t_{i1} = (rvb_ib_{i1})$, necessarily of type (2,2). If $b_{i1} \neq b_{i+1}$ the triangle (rvb_{i1}) is incident on t_{i1} and one other tetrahedron $t_{i2} = (rvb_{i1}b_{i2})$, necessarily of type (2,2). Continuing, we obtain a sequence $t_{ij} = (rvb_{i(j-1)}b_{ij}), j = 1, \ldots, m_i$, of tetrahedra of type (2,2) such that $b_{i0} = b_i$ and $b_{im_i} = b_{i+1}$. These are by construction different tetrahedra, since $b_{i0}, \ldots, b_{im_i}$ are different. If $b_i = b_{i+1}$ we set $m_i = 0$, in which case the triangle (rvb_i) is incident only on the tetrahedra t_i and t_{i+1}. It is important to note that the edge (rv) is not incident on any tetrahedra in K except $t_i, t_{i1}, \ldots, t_{im_i}, t_{i+1}$, since any other tetrahedron incident on (rv) would intersect $t_i \cup t_{i1} \cup \cdots \cup t_{im_i} \cup t_{i+1}$ only in (rv) and it would follow that removing a neighbourhood $N_\epsilon(rv)$ from the star of (rv) would yield a disconnected set for ϵ small enough, contradicting the manifold property of K.

Repeating the above construction for all vertices $v \in \partial B_r$ we obtain a connected simplicial complex A_0 consisting of tetrahedra $t_i, t_{i1}, \ldots, t_{im_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$, and their subsimplices. From the preceding remark it follows that A_0 exhausts all the tetrahedra of type (3,1) or (2,2) in K that are incident on r. We next describe how the star of r in K is obtained by successively adding tetrahedra, necessarily of type (1,3), starting from A_0.

Let C_0 be the connected simplicial complex consisting of the blue edges and vertices of A_0, i.e., the edges of C_0 are $e_{ij} = (b_{i(j-1)}b_{ij}), 1 \leq j \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, some of which may be identical. Pick an edge e_{ij} in C_0. The triangle $\Delta_{ij} = (re_{ij})$ is contained in t_{ij} and one more tetrahedron t in K. If t is not in A_0 it is of type (1,3) and we define A_1 to be the simplicial complex obtained by adding t (and its subsimplices) to A_0. Moreover, C_1 is defined by adding the triangle in t opposite to r to C_0. If t is already in A_0 we set $A_1 = A_0$ and $C_1 = C_0$. Next repeat the same construction for any edge e in C_1 to obtain A_2 and C_2 and continue until the complex A_N and its blue subcomplex C_N are obtained with the property that any triangle (re), where e is an edge in C_N, is incident on exactly two tetrahedra in A_N.

Suppose there exists a tetrahedron t' of type (1,3) incident on r which is not in A_N. Then t' is not incident on any triangle in A_N by construction and hence

it can share at most two edges with A_N. It follows that by removing a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the 1-skeleton of the star of r in K one would obtain a disconnected set, contradicting the manifold property of K. Thus we have shown that A_N equals the star $\widetilde{B}_r$ of r in K. Moreover, the blue subcomplex C_N of A_N is by construction connected and its vertices are exactly the set of blue vertices that occur as endpoints of edges in K whose other endpoint is r. It follows that any two midpoints of those edges in S_K are connected by a blue path. Applying an analogous argument for the blue vertices $b \in \partial K_{blue}$, we conclude that τ is injective and this completes the proof that τ is a combinatorial isomorphism.

It is clear from the construction of K_S that it depends only on the combinatorial isomorphism class of $S = S_K$. It therefore follows from the existence of τ that S_K determines K up to combinatorial isomorphism as claimed. □

Remark. An alternative route to Theorem 1 would be to show that every causal slice has a local construction in the sense of [12]. While we believe that this is feasible, we find the argument using the midsection S_K more transparent.

The exponential bound in Theorem 1 and some standard subadditivity arguments allow us to get a sharper estimate on the growth of the number of 3-dimensional causal triangulations with fixed boundaries as we now explain. Let $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}})$ be the set of all (combinatorial isomorphism classes of) 3-dimensional causal triangulations with boundary components Σ_{in} and Σ_{out}. Let $N(V, \Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}})$ denote the number of triangulations in $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}})$ of volume V, i.e., consisting of V tetrahedra.

Theorem 2. *The limit*

$$\lim_{V\to\infty} \frac{\log N(V, \Sigma_{\text{in}}, \Sigma_{\text{out}})}{V}$$

exists for all Σ_{in}, Σ_{out} *and is independent of* Σ_{in} *and* Σ_{out}.

In order to prove this Theorem we need the following simple Lemma which we assume for a moment.

Lemma 3. *The sets $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$ are all nonempty.*

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\Sigma_{\rm in}$ and $\Sigma_{\rm out}$ be two triangulations of S^2. Choose a causal triangulation $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$ and let V_0 be the volume of T_0. Let T_1 and T_2 be two causal triangulations in the same set with volumes V_1 and V_2. Now glue T_1 to T_0 along $\Sigma_{\rm out}$ and glue T_2 to T_0 along $\Sigma_{\rm in}$, using arbitrarily chosen combinatorial isomorphisms to identify boundary components. Then we obtain a new element T' in $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$ of volume $V_1 + V_2 + V_0$. Clearly T_1 and T_2 are uniquely determined by T' and it follows that

$$N(V_1, \Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out}) N(V_2, \Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out}) \leq N(V_1 + V_2 + V_0, \Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out}).$$

Hence, the function

$$f(V) = -\log N(V - V_0, \Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$$

is subadditive (for V sufficiently large) so (see, e.g., [13] Sec. 7.6)

$$-\lim_{V\to\infty} \frac{f(V)}{V} = -\inf_V \frac{f(V)}{V} \equiv \beta(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$$

which is finite by Theorem 1. A priori $\beta(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$ depends on the boundary triangulations $\Sigma_{\rm in}$ and $\Sigma_{\rm out}$. However, given an arbitrary causal triangulation in $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out})$ we can glue on it two fixed triangulations T_1 and T_2 from $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm in})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_{\rm out}, \Sigma'_{\rm out})$ for any triangulations $\Sigma'_{\rm in}$ and $\Sigma'_{\rm out}$ of the 2-sphere. It follows that

$$N(V, \Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out}) \leq N(V + V_1 + V_2, \Sigma'_{\rm in}, \Sigma'_{\rm out}),$$

where V_i is the volume of T_i, $i = 1, 2$. We deduce that

$$\beta(\Sigma_{\rm in}, \Sigma_{\rm out}) \leq \beta(\Sigma'_{\rm in}, \Sigma'_{\rm out})$$

for any two pairs of triangulations of S^2. Hence, all the β's are equal and we have established the Theorem. □

Proof of Lemma 3. Let Σ_T be the boundary of a tetrahedron. We will show that for any triangulation Σ of the 2-sphere there is a causal slice with boundary components Σ and Σ_T. Gluing two such causal slices along the boundary tetrahedron we can then obtain a causal triangulation with any prescribed in- and out-boundaries.

Consider the cone C_Σ over Σ, that is to say the 3-dimensional simplicial complex constructed by introducing one new vertex a and declaring the tetrahedra to be (Δa) where Δ ranges over the triangles in Σ. The tetrahedra (Δa) and $(\Delta' a)$ are glued together along the triangle (ℓa) if and only if the two triangles Δ and Δ' in Σ are glued along the edge ℓ.

Now suppose for simplicity that Σ has a vertex 0 of degree three. Denote the neighbours of 0 by 1,2 and 3. Consider the star of the vertex 0 in the cone C_Σ which consists of the tetrahedra $(012a)$, $(013a)$ and $(023a)$. Remove these three tetrahedra from C_Σ. Take a copy of Σ_T and identify one of the vertices with a. Label the other vertices b, c, d. Colour the vertices and edges in Σ red and those in Σ_T blue. Now we fill up this simplicial complex so that we get a causal slice. First introduce the $(3,1)$ tetrahedra $(b023)$, $(c013)$ and $(d012)$ which fill the hole in Σ. Then we glue $(1,3)$ tetrahedra to the Σ_T. These are: $(acd1)$, $(abd2)$, $(abc3)$ and $(bcd0)$. It remains to glue the $(1,3)$ tetrahedra to the $(3,1)$ tetrahedra. For this purpose we need 6 $(2,2)$ tetrahedra which are $(cd01)$, $(bd02)$, $(bc03)$, $(ac13)$, $(ad12)$ and $(ab23)$, see Fig. 6 which illustrates part of the midsection of the causal slice we have constructed.

In this construction we began by removing 3 tetrahedra from C_Σ and then we added 13 tetrahedra to get a causal slice with boundary components Σ_T and Σ. Hence, for any two triangulations $\Sigma_{\rm in}$ and $\Sigma_{\rm out}$ of S^2 there is a causal triangulation of volume $|\Sigma_{\rm in}| + |\Sigma_{\rm out}| + 20$ with boundary components $\Sigma_{\rm in}$ and $\Sigma_{\rm out}$. Here $|\Sigma_{\rm in}|$ is the number of triangles in $\Sigma_{\rm in}$ and similarly for $\Sigma_{\rm out}$.

If Σ has no vertex of degree 3, it necessarily has a vertex of order 4 or 5. It is easy modify the construction above to this case by subdividing some of the tetrahedra. We leave the details to the reader. □

In the remainder of this section we discuss 3-dimensional causal triangulations with boundary components of arbitrary topology. We also relax the requirement of cylindrical topology in condition (i) of Definition 1.

Definition 2. A D-dimensional *generalized causal slice* K is an abstract simplicial complex which satisfies the following conditions:



Figure 6: The part of the midsection describing the gluing of the 13 tetrahedra replacing the star of 0 in C_Σ. Here $(ac13)$ refers to the tetrahedron with vertices $a, c, 1, 3$ etc.

(i) K is an oriented D-manifold with two boundary components.

(ii) All mono-coloured simplices of K belong to the boundary, such that the red ones belong to one boundary component ∂K_{red} and the blue ones to the other component ∂K_{blue}.

A *generalized causal triangulation* of dimension D is an abstract simplicial complex of the form

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K^i \,,$$

where each K^i is a D-dimensional generalized causal slice such that K^i and K^j are disjoint if $i \neq j$ except that $\partial K^i_{blue} = \partial K^{i+1}_{red}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$, as uncoloured abstract simplicial complexes. The boundary components of ∂K^1_{red} and ∂K^N_{blue} of K will be denoted Σ_{in} and Σ_{out}, respectively.

Lemma 4. *For any generalized* 3*-dimensional causal slice* K *the midsection* S_K *is homeomorphic to both* ∂K_{red} *and* ∂K_{blue}.

Remark. Before proving the above lemma we note that if we assume that K is a topological cylinder, i.e., homeomorphic to $[0,1] \times \Sigma$ for some two-dimensional orientable manifold Σ, then the statement of Lemma 4 is trivial since we know that the interior of K is homeomorphic to $(0,1) \times S_K$ and hence the homotopy group $\pi_0(S_K)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_0(\Sigma)$ and this implies that S_K is homeomorphic to Σ.

Proof. Let K be a generalized causal slice. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 2 and consider the star $\widetilde{B}_r$ in K around a red vertex $r \in \partial K_{red}$ consisting of type (3,1) tetrahedra t_i and type (2,2) tetrahedra t_{ij} and possibly additional tetrahedra of type (1,3).

Since K is an oriented manifold it is clear that S_K is an orientable surface. It therefore suffices to show that the Euler characteristics of ∂K_{red} and S_K coincide, the argument for ∂K_{blue} being similar.

Consider the intersection of $\widetilde{B}_r$ with S_K. Its boundary $\partial(\widetilde{B}_r \cap S_K)$ in S_K is a simple curve μ_r consisting of edges each of which belongs to either a quadrangle $t_{ij} \cap S_K$ and is blue or to a red triangle $t_i \cap S_K$. Since $\partial \widetilde{B}_r \setminus \partial K_{red}$ is a disc, it follows by the manifold property of K that μ_r bounds a disc in $\partial \widetilde{B}_r \setminus \partial K_{red}$ and this implies that $\widetilde{B}_r \cap S_K$ is a disc containing the quadrangles $t_{ij} \cap S_K$ and the triangles $t_i \cap S_K$.

Next, we define a graph G_{red} in S_K whose vertices are the barycenters of quadrangles or red triangles in S_K. The edges of G_{red} are dual to red edges in S_K in the following sense: each red edge e in S_K is incident on two cells, whose barycenters α and β are vertices of G_{red}. Let a_e be the arc in S_K consisting of the two line segments connecting the barycenter of e to α and β, respectively, see Fig. 7.

Then a_e connects α and β and, clearly, the interiors of a_e and a_f are disjoint for any two different red edges e and f. Hence G_{red} is defined as a graph in S_K by letting its edge set consist of the arcs a_e, where e is any red edge in S_K. Note that a vertex of G_{red} is of order 2 or 3 depending on whether it is the barycenter of a quadrangle or a red triangle, respectively, see Fig. 8. Since the red boundary



Figure 7: An edge in G_{red} joining the centers of a red triangle and a quadrangle.

component ∂K_{red} is connected it follows that the graph G_{red} is connected.

Returning to the disc $\widetilde{B}_r \cap S_K$, we see that it contains the barycenters of the cells $t_{ij} \cap S_K$ and $t_i \cap S_K$ as well as the circuit γ_r in G_{red} made up of the edges connecting them. Clearly, γ_r is a deformation of μ_r and likewise bounds an open disc D_r in S_K, see Fig. 9.

By the construction of $\widetilde{B}_r$ in the proof of Lemma 2, the disc D_r contains no part of G_{red}, and $D_r \cap D_{r'} = \emptyset$ for $r \neq r'$. Moreover, given a red edge e in S_K it is contained in a unique triangle in K that contains a single edge e' in ∂K_{red}. Letting r and r' be the endpoints of e' it follows that a_e belongs to γ_r and $\gamma_{r'}$. This shows that the complement of G_{red} in S_K is a disjoint union of discs labelled by the vertices of ∂K_{red}. Hence, the Euler characteristic $\chi(S_K)$ of S_K can be calculated by Euler's formula in terms of the graph G_{red}. If F is the number of faces of G_{red}, E the number of edges and V the number of vertices we have

$$\chi(S_K) = V - E + F\,.$$

The number of faces of G_{red} equals the number of vertices in ∂K_{red} by the previous remark and the number of edges in G_{red} equals the number of red edges in S_K and fulfills

$$2E = 3\#\{\text{red triangles in } S_K\} + 2\#\{\text{quadrangles in } S_K\}\,. \tag{8}$$



Figure 8: The graph G_{red} corresponding to the midsection in Fig. 2.

Finally, the number of vertices in G_{red} equals

$$V = \#\{\text{red triangles in} S_K\} + \#\{\text{quadrangles in } S_K\}\,. \tag{9}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(S_K) &= \#\{\text{vertices in } \partial K_{red}\} - \frac{1}{2}\#\{\text{red triangles in } S_K\} \\ &= \chi(\partial K_{red}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

since $\#\{\text{red triangles in } S_K\} = \#\{\text{triangles in } \partial K_{red}\}$ and

$$2\#\{\text{edges in } \partial K_{red}\} = 3\#\{\text{triangles in } \partial K_{red}\}. \tag{11}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark. A proof of the lemma above can also be based on the existence of collar neighbourhoods of the boundary components (see, e.g., Corollary 2.26 in [15]), but we prefer to give the preceding more direct proof.

It can presumably be proven that a 3-dimensional generalized causal slice K is homeomorphic to a cylinder. But we shall not need this fact and will not elaborate



Figure 9: The curves μ_r and γ_r and the disc D_r. In this case the red vertex r has order 4.

further on it here, except for noting that in case the boundary components ∂K_{red} and ∂K_{blue} are homeomorphic to S^2 this fact follows easily from the validity of the Poincaré conjecture [14]. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 4 that the interior of K is homeomorphic to $(0,1) \times S^2$. In particular, the interior of K is simply connected. Being a manifold, K has the structure of a cylinder close to its boundary by the collaring theorem. It follows that K is also simply connected. By gluing two triangulated 3-balls onto the two boundary components of K one therefore obtains a simply connected closed 3-manifold which is homeomorphic to the 3-sphere by the Poincaré conjecture. Removing the interior of the two 3-balls shows that K is homeomorphic to a cylinder $[0,1] \times S^2$. Hence, in dimension 3 the notion of a generalized causal slice with boundary components homeomorphic to S^2 is equivalent to that of a causal slice.

Henceforth, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{3,g}$ the set of generalized causal triangulations whose boundary components have genus g and we let $N_{3,g}(V)$ denote the number of K in

$\mathcal{C}_{3,g}$ such that $|K^3| = V$. We then have the following generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *There exists a constant $C_{3,g} > 0$ such that*

$$N_{3,g}(V) \leq C_{3,g}^V \,,$$

for all positive integers V.

Proof. It is sufficient to verify the three steps in the proof of Theorem 1. Step (i) requires no change and the same applies to step (iii) since the topology of S_K was not used to prove injectivity of π. In step (ii) the only modification required is the establishment of a bound on the number of triangulations of an oriented surface of fixed genus g as a function of the number of triangles. This result may be found in [11] or, alternatively, it can easily be deduced from [17]. □

Remark. We note that the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2 apply to the case of boundaries with nonzero genus except that a suitable generalization of Lemma 3 is missing.

4 The 4-dimensional case

In this section we discuss the extension of Theorem 1 to the case of 4-dimensional causal triangulations. We let $M_3(V)$ denote the number of all abstract simplicial complexes homeomorphic to the 3-sphere made up of V tetrahedra and denote by $N_4(V)$ the number of 4-dimensional causal triangulations (as defined in Definition 1) made up of V 4-simplices. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 4. *If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $M_3(V) \leq C^V$ for all $V \geq 0$, then there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that*

$$N_4(V) \leq C_4^V \,,$$

for all positive integers V.

Proof. We proceed in four steps.

(i) By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 it suffices to bound the number $N_4'(V)$ of causal slices with V 4-simplices.

(ii) Consider a causal slice K. By Lemma 1, the midsection S_K is a closed 3-manifold and the interior of K is homeomorphic to $S_K \times (0,1)$ as well as to $S^3 \times (0,1)$. In particular, $S_K \times (0,1)$ is simply connected and it follows that S_K is simply connected and hence homeomorphic to S^3 by the Poincaré conjecture [14].

(iii) As explained in Section 2 the coloured cell complex S_K has 3-cells of four types: red or blue tetrahedra and prisms whose end triangles are either both red or both blue. It is well known (see e.g. [15] Proposition 2.9) that any cell complex can be subdivided to a simplicial complex without introducing new vertices. Let S_K' be such a subdivision of S_K and colour its new edges black. We note that each such edge e connects two opposite vertices in a rectangular face f_e of a prism of S_K and that each prism p of S_K is subdivided in three tetrahedra all of which are incident on one of the three black edges in the boundary of p. In order to see that S_K can be reconstructed from the coloured simplicial complex S_K' we further note that any black edge e of S_K' is incident on exactly two triangles Δ_e, Δ_e' whose other edges are not black, and these triangles make up the face f_e. If e is incident on three tetrahedra on one side of f_e then those tetrahedra form a subdivision of a prism with f_e as a face. These observations serve to show that the colouring of S_K' allows a reconstruction of the prisms of S_K which together with the monocoloured tetrahedra define the cell complex S_K.

Noting that the number of 3-cells in S_K equals V and that the number of tetrahedra of S_K' is bounded by $3V$, an exponential bound on the number of coloured cell complexes S_K as a function of V will follow from an exponential bound on the number of coloured simplicial complexes S_K' as a function of the number of *tetrahedra*. We obtain such a bound from (ii) and the assumed exponential bound on $M_3(V)$ since the number of possible colourings of the edges of a given simplicial manifold made up of V tetrahedra is bounded by 9^V.

(iv) If S_K determines K up to combinatorial isomorphism, that is if the map π

is injective on $\mathcal{CS}_4$, the claimed bound now follows from (i) and (iii). The proof of injectivity of π is deferred to Lemma 5 below. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let $K \in \mathcal{CS}_4$. Then the coloured cell complex S_K determines K up to combinatorial isomorphism.*

Proof. Given a causal slice $K \in \mathcal{CS}_4$ with realization K_ϕ we construct from $S = S_K$ a 4-dimensional simplicial complex K_S in complete analogy with the construction in the proof of Lemma 2 and whose details are left to the reader. The proof of the Lemma is complete once we show that K_S is combinatorially isomorphic to K and this in turn requires showing that if if v_1, v_2 are vertices of S_K and $\bar{r}(v_1) = \bar{r}(v_2)$, using notation as in the proof of Lemma 2, then v_1 and v_2 are connected by a blue path and, similarly, if $\bar{b}(v_1) = \bar{b}(v_2)$ then v_1 and v_2 are connected by a red path. The proof of this fact follows by the same line of argument as in the the 3-dimensional case as we now describe.

Let r be a vertex in ∂K_{red} and consider the star B_r of r in ∂K_{red}, which is a 3-ball whose boundary ∂B_r is a triangulated 2-sphere the triangles of which we denote by $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$. The boundary tetrahedron $t_i = (r\Delta_i)$ is incident on a unique 4-simplex $s_i = (rb_i\Delta_i)$, and r is not contained in any other 4-simplex of type (4,1) except $s_1, \ldots, s_n$, since no mono-coloured interior edges exist in K.

Consider an edge e in ∂B_r. The boundary triangle (re) is contained in exactly two tetrahedra $t_{e1} = t_i$ and $t_{e2} = t_j$ in B_r. If $b_i \neq b_j$ we repeat the construction applied in the 3-dimensional case to obtain a sequence $s_{e1}, \ldots, s_{em_e}$ of 4-simplices of type (3,2) containing (re), such that $s_{ei} = (rb_{e(j-1)}b_{ej}e), j = 1, \ldots, m_e$, and $b_{e0} = b_i$ and $b_{em_e} = b_j$. These are by construction different tetrahedra. Moreover, the triangle (re) is not contained in any other 4-simplex of type (3,2) in K. In order to see this, observe that any such 4-simplex would intersect $s_i \cup s_{e1} \cup \cdots \cup s_{em_e} \cup s_j$ only in (re) by the assumption that any tetrahedron $(rb_{ek}e)$ is contained in exactly two 4-simplices. It would follow that removing a small neighbourhood $N_\epsilon(re)$ from the star of (re) in K one would obtain a disconnected set, contradicting the manifold

property of K.

Repeating this construction for all edges e in ∂B_r we let A_0 denote the resulting simplicial complex consisting of the 4-simplices $s_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $s_{ek}, k = 1, \ldots, m_e$, and their subsimplices. We let C_0 be the simplicial complex consisting of the edges $(b_{e(k-1}b_{ek})$ and their vertices. We note that C_0 is a connected simplicial complex that equals the blue subcomplex of A_0. If we remove a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the 2-skeleton of A_0 then a connected set remains. These properties are preserved under the subsequent constructions.

Pick now a vertex v in ∂B_r and let f be an edge in C_0. If the tetrahedron $t = (rvf)$ is contained in exactly one 4-simplex s in A_0, then we define A_1 by adding to A_0 the other 4-simplex s' in K that is incident on t. We let C_1 be obtained from C_0 by adding the triangle in s' opposite to (rv). Clearly, this triangle contains f. If, on the other hand, either t is not in A_0 or t is incident on two 4-simplices in A_0 we set $A_1 = A_0$ and $C_1 = C_0$. Now repeat this step for some edge f in C_1 to obtain A_2 and C_2 and continue until all tetrahedra in A_N of the form (rvf), where f is an edge in C_N, are incident on two 4-simplices in A_N. Then no further 4-simplices of type (2,3) in K are incident on (rv). In fact, such a simplex $(rv\Delta)$ would at most share three vertices with C_N and hence at most three triangles with A_N, and we can use the same argument as above to conclude that the star of (rv) in K, with a small neighbourhood of its 2-skeleton removed, would be disconnected, contradicting the manifold property of K.

Now repeat the construction for all vertices v in ∂B_r to obtain A_M and C_M such that A_M contains all 4-simplices of types (4,1), (3,2) and (2,3) in the star of r in K and such that C_M is connected and equals the blue subcomplex of A_M. Finally, consider a triangle Δ in C_M. Then the tetrahedron $(r\Delta)$ is contained in some 4-simplex of A_M by construction. If the other 4-simplex of K incident on $(r\Delta)$ is not in A_M define A_{M+1} by adding it to A_M and define C_{M+1} by adding its blue tetrahedron to C_M. Otherwise, A_M and C_M are left unchanged. Now continue repeating this construction untill A_L and C_L are obtained such that both 4-simplices in K incident

on $(r\Delta)$ are contained in A_L for all triangles Δ in C_L, the blue subcomplex of A_L.

Then A_L is the star of r in K: otherwise, there would be further 4-simplices of type (1,4) in K containing r and these could at most share a union of triangles (re) or edges (rv), where e is an edge in C_L and v is a vertex in C_L, with A_L, and a contradiction is obtained in the same ways as previously.

Since C_N is connected and its vertices coincide with the endpoints of edges originating from r it follows that $\bar{r}(v_1) = \bar{r}(v_2) = r$ implies that v_1 and v_2 are connected by a blue path. Clearly, an analogous argument applies to any blue vertex b instead of r and the proof in complete. □

Acknowledgements. This work was partly supported by the NordForsk researcher network "Random Geometry" (33000). We would like to thank Søren Galatius, Mauricio E. G. Lopez and Erik Kjær Pedersen for helpful discussions on piecewise linear topology. We are grateful to Ingi Freyr Atlason for help with the pictures.

References

[1] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus and T. Jonsson, *Quantum geometry: a statistical field theory approach,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)

[2] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus and T. Jonsson, *Three-dimensional simplicial quantum gravity and generalized matrix models,* Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6** (1991) 1133-1146

[3] J. Ambjørn and R. Loll, *Non-perturbative Lorentzian quantum gravity, causality and topolgy change,* Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998) 407-434

[4] J. Ambjørn, J. Jurkiewicz and R. Loll, *Nonperturbative 3D Lorentzian quantum gravity,* Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 044011

[5] J. Ambjørn, J. Jurkiewicz, R. Loll and G. Vernizzi, *Lorentzian 3d gravity with wormholes via matrix models,* JHEP 0109:022,2001

[6] J. Ambjørn, A. Görlich, J. Jurkiewicz and R. Loll, *Nonperturbative quantum gravity,* Physics Reports **519** (2012) 127210

[7] J. Ambjørn and S. Varsted, *Three-dimensional simplicial quantum gravity,* Nucl. Phys. B **373** (1992) 557-577

[8] B. Benedetti and G. M. Ziegler, *On locally constructible spheres and balls,* Acta Math. **206** (2011) 205-243

[9] S. Catterall, J. Kogut and R. Renken, *Entropy and the approach to the thermodynamic limit in three-dimensional quantum gravity,* Phys. Lett. B **342** (1995) 53-57

[10] P. Collet, J.-P. Eckmann and M. Yonan, *Trees of nuclei and bounds on the number of triangulations of the 3-ball,* Commun. Math. Phys. **325** (2014) 259-289

[11] B. Durhuus, *Critical properties of some discrete random surface models.* In proceedings of The 7'th Ettore Majorana International School of Mathematical Physics 1988, "Constructive quantum field theory II", eds. G. Velo and A.S. Wightman, Plenum Press, New York, 1990.

[12] B. Durhuus and T. Jonsson, *Remarks on the entropy of 3-manifolds,* Nucl. Phys. B **445** (1995) 182-192

[13] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, Functional analysis and semi-groups, AMS, Providence (1957)

[14] G. Perelman, *Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds*, arXiv:math.DG/0307245

[15] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to picewise-linear topology*, Springer, Berlin (1972)

[16] H. Seifert and W. Threlfall, *A textbook of topology,* Academic Press, New York (1980)

[17] W. T. Tutte, *A census of planar maps*, Can. J. Math. **15** (1963) 249-271

[18] R. E. Williamson, Jr., *Cobordism of Combinatorial Manifolds,* Ann. Math. **83** (1966) 1-33